57

1889
Separate Revenue
File No. 8 of 89
Sls. 1-4

Return of the file of arrack Rahadaries

T.V. Ramaswamy Iyengar.

previous Reference
S. R. 3 of 87

Subsequent Reference
S. R 17 of 91
S. R 48 of 94.

(General Serial No. 31.)

188

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE. 264 16.APR.90 91 5-3

SECTION ...

FILE No. 8 of 1889-90.

SERIAL No. 1

From The Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To The Chief Secretary to the D of M

Dated 11th

Despatched 15

Received

Enclosures No. 1 Statement & a file of Rahadari 1890.-91.

General No. 358

No. 7

Has the honor to forward herewith the file of arrack Rahadaries with the usual analysis of results, prepared after checking in this office with the detailed Registers of issues of liquor from the Distillery for the month of January 1890.

Excise Comnr.

Referred to Dr Raghavendra Rao after Cabinet 16/4/90

Dr 13214/1729 20/4/91

Dr 358/91

29-6-91 18-4-

Ex. Treasurer to Sd.

Analysis of results on comparing the arrack Rakadaries with the detailed account received at this office for the month of January 1890

712/00

Name of Taluk	Name of Kotty	Total No. of Rakadaries to each District	Total quantity of arrack issued to each Kotty		Remarks
C & M Station	C & M Station Bangalore District	47	7092	28	
Bangalore	Bangalore Town	28	8710	1	Nos 2410, 2462, 2463, 2487, 2556, 2599 & 3.8.8
	Gelahunka	12	636	30	10, 17 & 21 reported not receiving
	Kengeri	5	265	33	
	Krishnarajpur	9	480	49	
	Begur	10	535	6	
Devanhully	Devanhully	8	421	49	
Anekal	Anekal	4	213	17	
	Surjapur	3	159	45	
	Hoskote	4	214	2	
Closepet	Closepet	5	315	47	
	Channapatna	3	264	3	
Magadi	Magadi	1	51	49	
Gulavangala	Gulavangala	3	158	20	
Bodballapur	Bodballapur	3	159	8	
Hoskote	Hoskote	5	266	42	
	Gandgordy	3	160	34	
Kankanhully	Kankanhully	3	156	18	R. No. 2671 of 29/1/90 not rec.
		081			
		100			
		57	4460	44	
		13	8710	46	
		9			

Shimoga District.

Shimoga	Shimoga	8	700	-
	Bankipur	3	159	31
	Ayanoor	1	105	26
Chennagiry	Chennagiry	1	53	29
Honnalli	Anjanapte	6	534	14
Sikarpur	Sikarpur	3	212	30
Sorab	Sorab	2	212	36
Sagar	Sagar	2	212	47
	Anantapur	2	215	10
Shirthally	Shirthally	3	531	19
	Soundyhaddy	2	108	1
			3045	39
		Bleeding	57	32
			3103	20

R. Ranganathan
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

RS

3	Kolar District			
Gualer	Gualer	6	267	14
	Ganagapur	5	315	18
Bagepully	Bagepully	2	107	24
Siddlagatta	Siddlagatta	3	315	15
Gurbagal	Gurbagal	3	165	48
Gudibanda	Gudibanda	2	108	6
Chintamony	Chintamony	3	264	41
	Srinivaspur	3	160	9
Kolar	Kolar	4	423	9
Chickballapur	Chickballapur	4	423	27
Bowringpett	Bowringpett	14	1115	5
	Betthamangala	8	851	3
		57	4517	4
	Tumkur District			
Tumkur	Tumkur	4	423	32
Sira	Sira	4	369	31
Gubbi	Gubbi	2	103	15
Tiptur	Tiptur	2	102	0
Kunigal	Kunigal	1	109	1
		13	1107	4
	Chitaldroog District			
Chitaldroog	Chitaldroog	2	209	5
Davangere	Harihar	3	321	16
	Davangere	4	220	19
Holalkalmoorro			Nil	
Holalkere		9	Nil	
			750	40

		Hassan District		
Hassan	Hassan	5	423	44
Arsikere	Arsikere	4	166	11
Belur	Belur	2	107	10
Sunjerabad	Sunkleshpur	3	425	46
		14	1123	9
		Mysore District		
Nanjangud	Nanjangud	1	52	32
Nanjangode	Nanjangode	5	217	41
Mysore	Mysore town	5	1169	38
Hunsur	Hunsur	4	317	3
Nandya	Nandya	2	108	41
Heggadadevankote	Heggadadevankote	1	52	46
Seringapatam	Seringapatam	3	319	40
T. Narsipur	T. Narsipur	3	159	40
Nagamangala	Nagamangala		Nil	
		24 Total	2398	26
		Kadur District		
Chick Nagalur	Chick Nagalur	4	846	46
Kadur	Kadur	2	212	20
Koppa	Harihar pur	2	640	5
Shoodigey	Shoodigey	3	318	21
Shevekere	Shevekere	4	324	12
Gedehully	Gedehully	1	53	48
	Blending		17	29
		16	2413	28
	Total	289		

Issue this order & then handover this bundle to Mr Gopha Venkatrao for attention to the disposal of file marked A. Hence there should be a simple Rept. who must be submitted in full early

20/5/91

Draft submitted with a Memo on the subject of the file A.

A.R.

30/4/91

SECTION S R

FILE NO. 8 of 89

SERIAL NO.

1891

21

To

The Excise Commissioner
Dn Mysore

Dated 20-2-91

No. 13214/1729

Returning the file of Cancelled Rahadaries for the month of January 1891 and observing that a brief report embodying the principal defects noticed by the Dy Commr. & the Excise Commr. in the service of exn and check prescribed should accompany the file of cancld. Rahadaries when submitted to Government for the future

19/1/91

Please submit the report as cited in the margin within. Same when were issued on same subject in March 1890, & the Comptroller; & enc: 4 Dy/rs - Bundle of files

27/1

From the Commr. No. 3818 dt 12/3/91

A

January 1890

Districts	No. of Kotis	No. of Rahadaries	Total quantity of Arrack issued: 20. u.p. G	20. u.p. Dr.	Proof G	Proof Dr.	Over proof: 30. O.P. under G	30. O.P. under Dr.	50. O.P. G	50. O.P. Dr.	60. O.P. G.	60. O.P. Dr.	Total. G	Total. Dr.
Cantonment	1	47	7,058	29	10	50	"	"	18	"	5	"	7,092	28
Bangalore Town	1	28	3,707	26	2	18	"	"	"	8	"	"	3,710	1
Rest of the Bangalore Districts	16	81	4,460	44	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4,460	44
Kolar District	12	57	4,512	14	5	33	"	"	"	"	"	"	4,517	47
Tumkur do	5	13	1,107	40	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1,107	40
Mysore do	8	24	2,394	38	2	42	"	"	"	"	"	48	2,398	26
Hassan do	4	14	1,115	33	4	36	"	"	"	"	2	42	1,123	9
Shimoga do	11	33	3,040	6	3	39	"	"	"	"	1	45	3,045	39
do Blending	"	"	43	5	"	"	14	27	"	"	"	"	57	32
Kadur Dt.	6	16	2,394	5	1	45	"	"	"	"	"	"	2,395	50
do Blending	"	"	9	8	"	"	8	21	"	"	"	"	17	29
Chittaldrug	3	9	747	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	3	39	750	40
Total issued	67	~~275~~ 322	30538	32	32	8	-	-	18	8	14	21	30,603	18
do Blending	"	"	52	13	-	-	22	48	-	-	-	-	75	10
Grand Total	67	~~275~~ 322	30590	45	32	8	22	48	18	8	14	21	30,678	28

C/ 44

SECTION

FILE No.

SERIAL No.

Read

Docket No. 358 d/11th April 1890

from the Excise Commr. in Mysore forwarding the file of Cancelled Arrack Rahadaries with the usual analysis of results for the month of January 1890 &

Do. No. 3538/115 d/14th Feby/90 forwarding detailed extract from the Regr. of issues of liquor from the Gov. Central Distillery at Bangalore & Bonded ware house at Shimoga.

Order thereon - No. 13214/1729 d/20-2-91 20 Bons + 1 file

During the month under review the total quantity of arrack issued from the Central Distillery as well as the Bonded Ware house at Shimoga to the several Kotes in the Districts of the Province & also to the Civil & Military station was 30678 Gallons & 28 Drams covered by [illegible] Rahadaries, which as compared

with the results of the previous month shews a decrease of 3052 Gallons & 14 drams in arrack & an increase of 8 in the number of Rahadaries - The total quantity above shewn includes 75 Gallons & 10 drams shown ~~under~~ as "Blending" against the Shimoga & Kadur Districts.

2 A statement marked A appended hereto shews the details of issues to ~~each~~ the Kotes of each District together with the various strength of the liquor - It will be seen therefrom that while 30590 G & 45 drams were 20° Under Proof the remainder consisted of the following ~~percen~~ strength

	G.	D.	
viz	32·8		Proof
	22·48	30°	Over Proof
	18·8	50°	D° D°
	14·21	60°	D° D°
	87·34		

3 The largest quantity issue ~~was~~ was, as usual, to the Co station & the smallest to the [illegible] being respectively 7092-20

4750-40.

4. The deduction allowed on account of wastage was as shewn hereunder involving Rs. 1318-10-3

	20 Up.	Proof	Over Proof 30°	50°	60°	Total	Amount
Total quantity issued	~~30538.52~~ 30590-45	32·8	22·48	18·8	14·21	30678·28	101797-5
Deduct on account of wastage at 2 percent	396-25	" 19	" 23	"	" 10	397·26	1318-10-3
	~~30142·7~~ 30194·20	31·40	22·25	18·8	~~14·11~~	30281·2	100478-10-10

5 The net realizations to Govt. amounting therefore to Rupees 1,00,478-10-10 which ~~appears to be~~ is included in the Demand & Collection Balance statement furnished by the Excise Commissioner to this Office.

6 The attention of the Excise Comr. is invited to the following matters observed in the course of the checking of the Rahadares in this Office with a request that where a report ~~be submitted on~~ ~~stock of them~~ is called for, the same may be submitted at an

early date.

7. Whether the Rahadaries after being sent by the Talukds are properly ~~checked~~ compared by the Dy Cons as laid down in the Rules noted in the margin, & if so how the results are communicated by them to the Excise Cons & what action, if any be called for, is taken thereon to rectify any irregularities which may be brought to notice, do not appear from the files &c received monthly in this Office. A short report on any such special matters embodied in the Docket which accompanies the files will be both useful & interesting to Government & it is requested that the same may be attended to hereafter.

+ No. 185 vide Notification dt 24 Jan 1888.

Also cancelled Rahadaries when sent to Pt with an analysis of the results under the instns cntd in the orders cited in the margin should be accompanied by a brief report embodying the principal defects noticed by the Dy Cns & the Excise Cr in the course of their Sn & check prs cited in the orders above cited & the steps taken to prevent their recurrence -

8 All the Rahadaries of the month of January have been sent to this Office in the middle of April, i e 2½ months after they

were issued, yet the six Rahadaris
noted in the margin which were
all issued to various parties
residing in ~~the~~ Bangalore have
not been received, the receipt of such reports
was instituted & with what results
& what steps have been taken
to insist upon the punctual
return of time expired Rahadaris
~~should be reported in full for~~
~~the information of Govt.~~

Nos 2410 ~~2410~~ Mr Hardy
2462 Govt Dispensary
2463 Mr Hardy
2487 – do. do.
2556 Mr Rozario
2599 do. do.

9. Rahadari No. 2434 d/4th Jan'y
conveying 54 G & 13 D of arrack to
Malur with 6 days ~~allowed~~ time
allowed appears to have reached
on the 11th instead of the 9th. The
cause of delay in the arrival of
the consignment & the reason why
~~long~~ time ~~as~~ 6 days ~~was~~ were allowed
for transit to a place only ~~24~~
hours journey ~~miles distant & also connected~~
or about 8 hours by cart road
by Railway should be explained.

fr. ll in

10 ~~Rahadari No. 2620 d/23rd Jan 1790 conveying 52 G. 50 D to the same place reached on the 30th instead of 28th or even the 29th, the time allowed being 6 days. Explanation needed as above.~~

10. The recipients of the liquor issued under the Rahadaries mentioned hereunder have not ~~as they should have done~~ endorsed ~~on~~ the latter in token of their having received the liquor in due time, all of them being residents ~~at~~ of the Civil & Military Station. This point has been frequently noticed in the Proceedings of the previous months & the special attention of the Excise Commr is again invited to ~~this~~ the important omission.

Nos	In whose name issued	Nos	In whose name issued
2426	Mr Downe	2507	Mr Allen
2427	~~Rajendram~~ Pillay	2540	Mr Downe
2450	Mr Lillywhite	2570	Mr Phance
2459	No name given. For milk Punch	2580	Mr Gibson
2495	Do Do ~~for Do~~	2595	Mr Leonard
2496	Mr Varden	2596	Messrs Riggs & Co
2506	Mr Pereira	2606	Mr Downe
		2607	Mr Lillywhite
		2620	Mr Chadwick
		2645	Mr Lillywhite
		2646	Mr Morrison

12. The file of cancelled Rahadaris under review ~~on~~ is herewith returned.

20 Feb 91

Chief Secretary

11688

(General Serial No. 31.)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
11688
18 MAR. 91
2103

18

From The Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

SECTION S. R.
FILE No. 8 of 89
SERIAL No. 3

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated 12th March 1891.

Despatched 13.

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 3818
No. 235.

Government Proceedings No: 13214/1729 dated 20th/24 ultimo

Has the honor to furnish the following information on the points noticed in the above: —

Paras 8 & 10. On receipt of Government ara 5183/526 dated 12th Aug the following instructions were issued from office: —

"The Assistant

References
18/3/91

Sir Lebanon
28/3

Reply
6/4/91

Order recorded
2/5

20-3-91

will not only make a note on each Rai ...y to the effect that the recipient shall return the Rahadary to the Amildar duly endorsed with the date and hour of receipt, but also inform each recipient that no liquor will be sold to him again if the Rahadary is not returned to the officers concerned".

2. Para: 9. The delay of one day appears to have been caused by Railway authorities.

E. Nyagendra Rao
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

R 18 (30)

SECTION S. R.

FILE No. 6a/89

SUB-FILE No. 4

To

The Executive Council

13 June 1891

17 R. Smith

No. 3104/322 II

Draft submitted for approval

9/6/91

Returning, with certain observations, the files of cancelled Rahadaries for the months of May & June 1890 & intimating that Govt view with dissatisfaction a recurrence of faults repeated, limited not.

79 (30)

Read the following dockets received from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore forwarding Registers of Issues of Arrack from the Govt. Central Distillery and files of Arrack Rahadaries with the usual analysis of results for the months of May and June 1890.

No. 1522/57 dated 18th June 1890
" 2007/74 dated 19th July do.
" 2997/149 dated 2nd October do.
& " 138/6 dated 18th April 1891

—"—

No. 3104/322 of 13-6-91 Order thereon.
Ex. 242 Rahadaries

The examination of the cancelled Rahadaries with the detailed Registers of issues for the above months has shown the following results.

2. The total quantity

of arrack issued in the month of May was 36,681 Gallons & 14 Drams covered by 388 Rahadaries representing a total revenue of Rs 1,21,791-1-2, while that in the month of June was 37,264 Gallons and 20 Drams covered by 386 Rahadaries, the revenue derived therefrom being Rs 1,23,568-12-10; vide details embodied in the statements attached ~~hereto~~ hereto.

3. The D.C.B statements furnished by the Excise Commissioner for the months under reference show that out of Rs 2,57,084-15-6, being the demand for May including not only the value of arrack issued, but also 2 anna gallon fee, establishment cess

and

and the opening balance at the beginning of the month, Rs 1,04,097-7-10, were collected leaving an outstanding balance of Rs 1,52,987-7-8, while for the month of June the collections against the total demand of Rs 2,76,671-4-0, excluding wastage, local cess and discount to the contractors, amounted to Rs 146,178-6-10, leaving a balance of Rs 1,30,492-13-2.

4. The following is a list of Rahadaries not received with the files.—

Nos 389, 457, 521, & 613, issued to parties in the Bangalore Town.

No 436 dated 12th May 1890 issued to the Baitamangalam Koti said to have been sent to the Deputy Commissioner for

some explanation.

No. 70 dated 28th May issued to the Bhemageri Koti.-

No. 64 dated 28th May issued to the Ayanur Koti. The explanations of the Koti Mutsaddi that two copies of this Kabadary obtained one after another were dropped on the road while being taken to the Taluk Cutchary once by his daughter and at the second time by his son when he (the Mutsaddi) was sick, seems rather extraordinary. ~~It is presumed that due~~ requires the ~~enquiry has been made into the matter and notice taken~~ serious notice.

5. The taluk Pattis which should have accompanied the cancelled

cancelled Rahadaries when they were sent to the Shimoga D.C. Office are not forthcoming. But only an abstract prepared in the District Office is found with the file. The taluk Patties are probably detained in the District Office.

The reason is not explained -

6. In the case of 8 — Rahadaries issued to the Sakleshpur Koti, only the duplicates have been recd. but not the originals have been received and the former are useless for purposes of scrutiny as to whether the prescribed rules were duly attended to.

This is inexplicable

7. The non-return within the prescribed time ~~of~~ Some of the Rahadaries issued to parties within the town of Bangalore in clay and gun

are again found returned after the marriage time

is the only points deserving a mention here, the other points being of the usual nature.

8. In regard to the Kahadaries issued during the month of June the following points call for further observation.—

9. According to the Taluk Tests the number of Kahadaries issued to the Town of Bangalore is 31, the total quantity of arrack thereby covered being 4254 Gallons & 22 Drams, whereas according to the analysis there should be 36 Kahadaries & 4255 Gls and 27 Drams of liquor, the difference being 5 Kahadaries & 1 Gallon & 5 Drs. This discrepancy is apparently due to the non-receipts of the following